

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
1. Technical Regulations - National Treatment	1.1 Does the proposed technical regulation establish the characteristics of a product or the processes and production methods related to them, including the applicable administrative provisions?	11.1	8.1.1	7.3	Annex 1, paragraph 1	N/A	4 subsection XVI			
	1.2 Does the proposed technical regulation establish terminology, symbols, packaging, marking or labeling requirements applicable to a product, process, or production method, or does it deal exclusively with them?	11.1	8.1.1	7.3	Annex 1, paragraph 1	N/A	4 subsection XVI			
	1.3 Is the instrument referred to in questions 1.1 or 1.2 mandatory?	11.1	8.1.1	7.3	Annex 1, paragraph 1	N/A	4 subsection XVI			
	1.4 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the technical regulation provides imported products from any of Mexico's trading partners no less favorable treatment than that accorded to similar products of national origin?	11.3.1.a	8.4.1	7.3	2.1	N/A	12 (fourth paragraph)			
	1.5 In the technical regulations that have as scope the prescription of the products, did COFEPRIS define such products based on the properties of use and application of the products?	N/A	N/A	7.3	2.8	N/A	12 (fifth paragraph)			
	1.6 In the technical regulations that have as scope the prescription of products, did COFEPRIS avoid defining products based on their design or descriptive characteristics?	N/A	N/A	7.3	2.8	N/A	12 (fifth paragraph)			
2 Technical Regulations - Preparation	2.1 Did COFEPRIS carry out an adequate assessment of the technical regulation, including a regulatory impact analysis of the potential impacts of the technical regulation?	11.5.1.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	34	34 subsection X (exemptions applied)			
	2.2 Did COFEPRIS carry out an evaluation of alternative measures that could be applied instead of the technical regulation?	11.5.1.b	N/A	N/A	2.2	38, II	32 subsection I			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	2.3 Did COFEPRIS evaluate the voluntary actions, such as alternative measures to the technical regulation, which were made known to it in a timely manner?	11.5.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	38, VI; 39	N/A			
	2.4 Did COFEPRIS ensure that its proposed technical regulations adequately inform interested persons and the governments of Mexico's trading partners about how their commercial interests could be affected?	N/A	8.7.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
3. Technical Regulations - Use of International Standards	3.1 Did COFEPRIS identify in the technical regulation the relevant international standards, guidelines, or recommendations with which the project is complying?	11.5.3;	8.5.2	7.4; 7.5.2.c	2.4	N/A	5, subsection X; 12 (second paragraph)			
	3.2 Specifically, did COFEPRIS identify all international standards that could be effective and appropriate to meet the legitimate objectives of the proposed technical regulation?	11.5.3	N/A	N/A	2.4	N/A	5, subsection X; 10; 12 (second paragraph)			
	3.3 Did COFEPRIS use as a basis for the technical regulation each of the relevant international standards identified based on question 3.2?	11.5.3.a	N/A	N/A	2.4	N/A	5, subsection X; 12 (second paragraph)			
	3.4 To determine whether an international standard exists, did COFEPRIS rely on the principles of transparency, openness, impartiality, consensus, effectiveness, relevance, and coherence contained in the TBT Committee's Decision on International Standards?	11.4.2	8.5.2	7.4	13.2; 13.3	N/A	5, subsection X			
	3.5 Did COFEPRIS ensure not to consider or use international standards that were developed through processes that are incompatible with the TBT Committee's Decision on International Standards?	11.4.5.a	N/A	N/A	13.2; 13.3	N/A	5, subsection X			
	3.6 To recognize a standard as international, did COFEPRIS ensure not to apply additional principles or criteria to those already established in the TBT Committee Decision on International Standards?	11.4.3	N/A	N/A	13.2; 13.3	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	3.7 To determine whether a standard is an international standard, did COFEPRIS ensure not to use the domicile of the standardization body that issued the standard as a criterion for its determination?	11.4.3.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3.8 To determine whether a standard is an international standard, did COFEPRIS ensure not to use as a criterion the fact that the standardization body that issued the standard is a non-governmental or intergovernmental body?	11.4.3.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3.9 To determine whether a standard is an international standard, did COFEPRIS ensure not to use as a criterion whether the standardization body that issued the standard limits delegations' participation?	11.4.3.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3.10 Where an international standard is adopted as a technical regulation, did COFEPRIS cooperate with the governments of Mexico's trading partners ¹ , in appropriate circumstances, to ensure that the international standard that became a technical regulation would not create unnecessary barriers to trade?	11.4.4	8.5.3	N/A	2.2	N/A	N/A			
	3.11 Did COFEPRIS ensure that no preference is given to the consideration or use of international standards that are developed through processes that treat natural or legal persons in the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners less favorably than persons whose residence is the same as one of the standardization bodies that issued the relevant international standard?	11.4.5.b	N/A	N/A	2.1	N/A	N/A			
	3.12 If an international standard was rejected and brought to the attention of COFEPRIS, did COFEPRIS issue a written explanation containing the reasons for the decision?	11.5.3.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3.13 Was this explanation provided directly to the proponent of the international standard or was it published in a	11.5.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

¹ Mexico's "trading partners" are defined as the member countries of the World Trade Organization (WTO).

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	document at the same time the corresponding technical regulation was published?									
	3.14 In the case of technical regulations that are consistent with the technical content of international standards, guidelines, or recommendations, and if these could have a significant effect on trade, was the notification of the draft or modification to the proposed technical regulation requested to the General Direction of Standards (hereinafter, "DGN" by its Acronym in Spanish)?	11.7.11	8.7.9 ; 8.7.11	7.8.1	2.4, 2.9	N/A	N/A			
	3.15 Regarding question 3.14, was the DGN requested to identify relevant international standards, guidelines, or recommendations with which the project is in compliance?	11.7.11	8.7.9 ; 8.7.11	7.8.2	2.4	N/A	N/A			
	3.16 In cases where there is no relevant international standard or where the content of the technical regulation is not in compliance with the technical content of such relevant international standard, and provided that the regulation may have a significant effect on trade, did COFEPRIS request the DGN to notify the draft or amendment to the proposed technical regulation?	11.7.14	8.4.1.a	7.3	2.9; 2.9.1	N/A	N/A			
	3.17 Was the notification referred to in question 3.16 of this section made at an early stage?	11.7.14	8.4.1.a	7.3	2.9.1	N/A	N/A			
	3.18 Does COFEPRIS provide means to facilitate, upon request, details on the technical regulation, the text of the technical regulation and to point out those parts of the technical regulation that differ in substance from the relevant international standards?	N/A	8.4.1.a	7.3	2.9.3	N/A	N/A			
	3.19 Did COFEPRIS promote greater alignment of technical regulations with relevant international standards, except in cases where it was inappropriate or ineffective?	N/A	8.9.2.b	7.5.2.c	2.4	N/A	N/A			
4. Technical Regulations - Use	4.1 In the absence of an international standard that meets the legitimate objectives of the proposed technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider standards developed by	11.5.4.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
of foreign standards	a standardization body established in the territory of Mexico's trading partners that would meet the legitimate objectives of the technical regulation?									
	4.2 Did COFEPRIS consider the use of foreign standards, referred to in question 4.1, during the planning phase or when the proposed technical regulation was published for comments?	11.5.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	4.3 Regarding question 4.1, did COFEPRIS accept any of these standards?	11.5.4.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	4.4 If a standard developed by a standardization body established in the territory of one of Mexico's trading partners that has been brought to the attention of COFEPRIS was rejected, did COFEPRIS issue a written explanation containing the reasons for its rejection?	11.5.4.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	4.5 Regarding question 4.4, was this explanation provided directly to the proponent of the given international standard or was it published in a document at the same time the corresponding technical regulation was published?	11.5.4.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
5. Technical Regulations - Information Exchange with the government of Mexico's trading partners.	5.1 Did COFEPRIS issue an explanation to the government of any of Mexico's trading partners regarding the absence of a relevant international standard as the basis for a technical regulation or deviated substantially from it?	11.5.6	N/A	N/A	10.1.1	N/A	N/A			
	5.2 In the explanation referred to in question 5.1, was it explained why the rule has been judged inappropriate or ineffective for the legitimate objective pursued?	11.5.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.3 In the explanation referred to in question 5.1, was there scientific or technical evidence on which the assessment was based for not considering an international standard as a basis for the technical regulation or for substantially deviating from it identified?	11.5.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3 subsection I			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	5.4 At the time of receiving the request referred to in question 5.1, did COFEPRIS register whether the international standard was communicated to it when it was developing the technical regulation?	11.5.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.5 At the time of receiving the request referred to in question 5.1, did COFEPRIS review that the applicant had identified the relevant international standard that the technical regulation supposedly did not use as a basis?	11.5.6.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.6 At the time of receiving the request referred to in question 5.1, did COFEPRIS review that the request described how the technical regulation was restricting or had the potential to restrict exports?	11.5.6.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
6. Technical Regulations - Equivalence	6.1 Did COFEPRIS favorably consider accepting as equivalent technical regulations adopted by other Mexico's trading partners that adequately meet the legitimate objectives, even if they differ from the technical regulations developed by it?	11.9.2.c	8.9.2.d	7.3; 7.5.1.b; 7.5.2.b	2.7	N/A	71			
	6.2 Specifically, did COFEPRIS promote the acceptance of technical regulations from the government of any of Mexico's trading partners as equivalent?	11.9.2.c	8.9.2.d	7.3; 7.5.1.b; 7.5.2.b	2.7	N/A	N/A			
	6.3 Where a request from the government of any of Mexico's trading partners for the recognition of equivalence of a technical regulation was denied by COFEPRIS, were reasons given to justify the denial?	11.5.7	8.9.6	7.6	2.7; 10.1	N/A	N/A			
	6.4 Did COFEPRIS provide the response referred to in question 6.3 within a reasonable period of time?	11.5.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7. Technical Regulations - Labeling	7.1 In case of a technical regulation on labeling, did COFEPRIS ensure that it grants no less favorable treatment to goods imported from the territories of Mexico's trading partners than that granted to similar goods of national origin?	11.5.8.a	N/A	N/A	2.1	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	7.2 In case of a technical regulation on labeling, did COFEPRIS ensure that it does not create unnecessary barriers to trade between Mexico and its trading partners?	11.5.8.b	N/A	N/A	2.2	N/A	5, subsection X			
8. Technical Regulations - Notification and publication of regulatory proposal	8.1 Was the proposed ² technical regulation or proposed modification of the technical regulation published on the official website of COFEPRIS?	11.7.2.a, 28.7.1, 28.9.1	8.7.4, 8.7.6 8.7.7	7.8.14	10.3.1	5; 27; 55	3, subsection II; 5, subsection VII; 35, subsection V			
	8.2 If the answer to question 8.1 is no, was it published in other media?	11.7.2.a	8.7.4, 8.7.6 8.7.7	7.8.14	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8.3 Does the standardization authority provide procedures to notify through the DGN draft regional technical regulations that may have a significant effect on trade, and which are in conformity with the technical content of relevant international standards, guidelines, or recommendations?	11.7.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8.4 Was the notification of the proposed technical regulation transmitted to the DGN at a convenient early stage where COFEPRIS could make amendments and take into account any comments provided?	11.7.14; 11.7.14.a	N/A	N/A	2.9.2	N/A	N/A			
	8.4.1 Does the notification includes a description of the objective(s) and purpose of the proposed technical regulation?	11.7.14.b.i	8.7.13.a	N/A	2.9.2	29	10			
	8.4.2 Does the notification includes an explanation of how the proposed technical regulation meets the objectives identified in the measure?	11.7.14.b.ii	8.7.13.a	N/A	N/A	29	N/A			
	8.4.3 Does the notification includes an indication of the legal grounds on which the measure was based?	11.7.14.b.i	8.7.13.a	N/A	N/A	29	N/A			

² The term proposed may refer to a proposal prepared by the federal or local government.

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	8.4.4 Does the notification includes the products covered by the proposed technical regulation?	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.9.2	29	N/A			
	8.5 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the DGN included in the notification a copy of the proposed technical regulation or an online address where a request can be made to COFEPRIS to access the proposed measure?	11.7.14.b.iii	N/A	N/A	N/A	55	N/A			
	8.6 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the notification was transmitted electronically to its trading partners through its information services that respond to all reasonable requests for information?	11.7.14.c	8.7.13.b	7.3	10.1	N/A	N/A			
	8.7 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the DGN has notified the technical regulation to the WTO TBT Committee?	11.7.14.c	8.7.13.b	7.3	2.11; 10.6	N/A	N/A			
	8.8 In the notification referred to in question 8.7, was the text of the technical regulation and/or an online address where the text of the measure can be consulted transmitted electronically?	11.7.14.c; 11.7.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8.9 In the case of first-time notifications, did COFEPRIS, through the DGN, notify the proposed technical regulation to the TBT Committee as a periodic notification?	11.7.16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8.10 Regarding question 8.9, did COFEPRIS ensure that the objective of the proposed technical regulation was identified in the notification, as well as the reference to the specific Harmonized System heading, subheading or tariff code for the products that are affected by the proposal?	11.7.16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8.11 For purposes of the notification, did COFEPRIS ensure that the DGN followed the recommendation of the TBT Committee G/TBT/35, related to the Coherent Use of Formats/Notification Templates?	11.7.16 (footnote 7)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8.12 In case of a notification related to a previously notified measure, did COFEPRIS ensure that the DGN provided the notification signature to the WTO for the previously notified measure?	11.7.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	8.13 Does COFEPRIS -directly or indirectly- maintain a single, free, publicly accessible website containing all information related to the development of a technical regulation?	28.7.1, 28.9.1	N/A	N/A	10.1.5; 10.3.1	5; 27; 55	3 subsection II ; 5, subsection II; 35, subsection X			
	8.14 In case of more than one website, did COFEPRIS, with the support of the DGN, verify that the information can be accessed, and that comment submissions can be made from a single web portal linked to the other related websites?	28.7.2	N/A	N/A	10.1.5; 10.3.1	5; 27; 55	3 subsection II ; 5, subsection II; 35, subsection X			
9. Technical regulations - Participation of interested parties in the development of technical regulations	9.1 Were natural or legal persons located in the territory of Mexico's trading partners allowed to participate in the elaboration of the technical regulation under conditions no less favorable than those granted to nationals?	11.7.1	8.7.1	7.8.5	2.1	28	N/A			
	9.2 Were the proposed technical regulations published online and freely accessible? ³	11.7.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	27; 55	N/A			
10. Technical Regulations - Emergency Notifications	10.1 In those cases where urgent safety, health, environmental protection, or national security issues arose or threatened to arise, did COFEPRIS request DGN to immediately notify the technical regulation?	11.7.12	8.7.10	N/A	2.10	36, III	31, 34			
	10.2 In the case that a technical regulation was notified under the terms of question 10.1 of this section, did COFEPRIS verify that the notification was made to the Secretariat of the WTO and the Committees of the bilateral or regional free trade agreements of which Mexico is a party?	11.7.12	8.7.10	7.3	2.10.1	N/A	N/A			
	10.3 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the notification referred to in questions 10.1 and 10.2 of this section includes the products,	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.10.1	N/A	N/A			

³ Unless they are technical regulations developed by non-governmental organizations or have been incorporated by reference into a technical regulation.

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 B/S	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	the objective, the rationale of the technical regulation and the nature of the urgent problems?									
	10.4 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the DGN provides the means to facilitate a copy of the emergency technical regulation to Mexico's trading partners?	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.10.2	N/A	N/A			
	10.5 Did COFEPRIS ensure that Mexico's trading partners were given the opportunity to provide written comments on the emergency technical regulation?	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.10.3	N/A	N/A			
	10.6 Did COFEPRIS, directly or through the Secretariat of Economy, held discussions with the governments of Mexico's trading partners regarding their comments on the emergency technical regulation?	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.10.3	N/A	N/A			
	10.7 Did COFEPRIS consider the written comments and discussions hold with Mexico's trading partners?	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.10.3	N/A	N/A			
11. Technical Regulations - Trade Cooperation and Facilitation	11.1 Did COFEPRIS carry out any of the following mechanisms to promote dialogue and cooperation to support a greater regulatory alignment:	11.9.2.a	8.9.2.a	7.5.2.a	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	11.1.1 Exchange information on regulatory practices and approaches.	11.9.2.a.i	8.9.2.a.i	7.5.2.a.i	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	11.1.2 Promote the use of good regulatory practices to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of technical regulations or standards.	11.9.2.a.ii	8.9.2.a.ii	7.5.2.a.ii	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	11.1.3 Provide technical advice and assistance on mutually agreed terms and conditions, to improve practices related to the development, implementation and revision of technical regulations or standards.	11.9.2.a.iii	8.9.2.a.iii	7.5.2.a.iii	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	11.1.4 Provide technical assistance and cooperation, on mutually agreed terms and conditions, to build capacity and support the implementation of the Technical Barriers to Trade Chapter of the USMCA, CPTPP and PA?	11.9.2.a.iv	8.9.2.a.iv	7.5.2.a.iv	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>BIS</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	11.2 Did COFEPRIS promote the facilitation of greater use of relevant international standards, guidelines, and recommendations as a basis for technical regulation?	11.9.2.b	8.9.2.c	7.5.2.d	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	11.3 Did COFEPRIS exchange information on the use of standards related to the technical regulation?	N/A	N/A	7.5.7	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	11.4 If requested by the governments of Mexico's trading partners, did COFEPRIS ensure that the standards indicatively referred to in the draft technical regulation were provided?	N/A	N/A	7.5.7	N/A	N/A	N/A			
12. Technical Regulations - Contact Points	12.1 Was a point of contact for Good Regulatory Practices designated and notified?	28.19	25.6.5	15 <i>BIS</i> 6.9	N/A	9, III	N/A			
13. Technical Regulations - Internal Consultation, Coordination and Review	13.1 Do the processes or mechanisms adopted within COFEPRIS to facilitate inter-institutional coordination, promote and facilitate regulatory coherence and facilitate trade and investment?	28.4.1	25.2.1, 25.4.1	15 <i>BIS</i> 2.1, 15 <i>BIS</i> 4.1	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	13.2 Regarding question 13.1 of this section, was adherence to good regulatory practices promoted within COFEPRIS?	28.4.1.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	10, III	N/A			
	13.3 Regarding question 13.1 of this section, were improvements in the regulatory processes within COFEPRIS identified and developed?	28.4.1.b	25.4.2.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	13.4 Regarding question 13.1 of this section, did COFEPRIS identify potential overlaps or duplications between proposed and existing regulations, as well as prevent the creation of incompatible requirements with other authorities?	28.4.1.c	25.4.2.b	15 <i>BIS</i> 4.2.b	N/A	6, V; 33	N/A			
	13.5 Regarding question 13.1 of this section, did COFEPRIS support compliance with international trade and investment obligations, including, as appropriate, consideration of international standards, guidelines, and recommendations?	28.4.1.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>BIS</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	13.6 Regarding question 13.1 of this section, was it promoted to address regulatory impacts, including burdens on SMEs in the collection and implementation of information?	28.4.1.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	20, III; 33 (no SME)	N/A			
	13.7 Regarding question 13.1 of this section, were regulatory approaches that avoid unnecessary restrictions to competition in the market promoted?	28.4.1.f	N/A	N/A	N/A	33	N/A			
	13.8 Did COFEPRIS review the proposed technical regulations to determine the degree in which the development of these measures adheres to good regulatory practices established in free trade agreements to which Mexico is a party, and did it issue recommendations based on that review?	N/A	25.4.2.a	15 <i>BIS</i> 4.2.a	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	13.9 Did COFEPRIS make recommendations for systematic regulatory improvements?	N/A	25.4.2.c	15 <i>BIS</i> 4.2.c	N/A	9, XII and XIII; 20; 26; 33	N/A			
	13.10 Did COFEPRIS publicly inform about the revised regulatory measures, any proposals for systematic regulatory improvement, and any updates on changes to the above processes and mechanisms?	N/A	25.4.2.d	15 <i>BIS</i> 4.2.d	N/A	26; 27 and 33	N/A			
	13.11 Was a description of the above processes or mechanisms made publicly available?	28.4.2	25.4.2 (second paragraph)	15 BIS 4.2	N/A	26 and 33	N/A			
14. Technical Regulations - Quality of information	14.1 Did COFEPRIS verify that the information on which the regulation was based is indeed reliable and of high quality?	28.5.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	34 and 35	N/A			
	14.2 Did COFEPRIS adopt or keep publicly available guidelines or mechanisms that encouraged it to seek the best information reasonably obtainable, including scientific, technical, economic, or other information relevant to the regulation being developed?	28.5.1.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	34 and 35	3 subsection I			
	14.3 Did COFEPRIS adopt or keep publicly available guidelines or mechanisms that encouraged it to rely on	28.5.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	34 and 35	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	information that is appropriate to the context in which it is used?									
	14.4 Did COFEPRIS adopt or keep publicly available guidelines or mechanisms that encouraged it to identify sources of information in a transparent manner, as well as any significant assumptions and limitations	28.5.1.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	38	N/A			
	14.5 In case of systematically collecting information from members of the public, through identical survey questions to be used in the development of the regulation, did COFEPRIS use solid statistical methodologies before making generalized conclusions regarding the impact of the regulation on the population affected by the technical regulation?	28.5.2.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	38	N/A			
	14. In case of systematically collecting information from members of the public, through identical survey questions to be used in the development of the regulation, did COFEPRIS avoid unnecessary duplication and minimized unnecessary burdens on respondents?	28.5.2.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	39	N/A			
15. Technical Regulations - Advanced Planning	15.1 Did COFEPRIS publish a list of regulations that it reasonably expected to adopt or propose within the next 12 months, including the technical regulation in question?	28.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	26	29			
	15.2 Was the technical regulation identified in the list accompanied by a brief description of the planned regulation?	28.6.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	26	N/A			
	15.3 Was the technical regulation identified in the list accompanied by a contact point for a knowledgeable individual in the regulatory authority responsible for the regulation?	28.6.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	15.4 Was the technical regulation identified in the list accompanied by an indication, if known, of the sectors to be affected and whether any significant effect on international trade or investment is expected?	28.6.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	29	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	15.5 Was the technical regulation identified in the list accompanied by timetables for subsequent actions, including those providing opportunities for public comment?	28.6 (second paragraph)	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	N/A			
16. Technical Regulations - Use of simple language.	16.1 Did COFEPRIS draft the technical regulation and its final version using plain language?	28.8	25.5.4	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.5	N/A	6; 16, V	N/A			
	16.2 Is the proposed technical regulation and its final version clear, concise, well organized, and easy for the public to understand?	28.8	25.5.4	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.5	N/A	6; 16, V	N/A			
17. Technical Regulations - Suggestions for improvement	17.1 Did COFEPRIS provide the opportunity for any interested person to submit written suggestions for the issuance, modification, or repeal of a technical regulation?	28.14	N/A	N/A	N/A	39	N/A			
18. Technical Regulations - Regulatory Process Information	18.1 Was a description of the processes and mechanisms used by COFEPRIS to prepare, evaluate, or revise the technical regulation published online?	28.15.1	25.5.5	N/A	N/A	38	N/A			
	18.2 Does the description referred to in question 18.1 identifies the applicable guidelines, rules, or processes, including those related to opportunities for the public to provide comments?	28.15.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	38	N/A			
	18.3 Was a description of the functions and organization of COFEPRIS published, including the appropriate offices through which individuals may obtain information, make submissions or requests, or obtain decisions? If so, where?	28.15.2.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	55	N/A			
	18.4 Were any procedural requirements or promulgated forms used by COFEPRIS published? If so, where?	28.15.2.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	55	N/A			
	18.5 Did COFEPRIS publish the legal authority for verification, inspection, and enforcement activities of the regulatory authority? If so, where?	28.15.2.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	18.6 Did COFEPRIS publish information regarding the judicial or administrative processes available to challenge the technical regulation? If so, where?	28.15.2.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	18.7 Was any fee charged by COFEPRIS to a person in the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners for services rendered in connection with the implementation of the technical regulation, including licenses, inspections, audits, and other administrative actions required to import, export, sell, market or use a good, published? If so, where?	28.15.2.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	54, XI	N/A			
19. Technical Regulations - Promoting Regulatory Compatibility and Cooperation	19.1 Did COFEPRIS participate in mutually beneficial regulatory cooperation activities with the relevant counterparts of Mexico's trading partners in appropriate circumstances to promote regulatory compatibility and regulatory cooperation?	28.17.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	9, XIII and XIV	N/A			
	19.2 Did COFEPRIS participate in bilateral and trilateral cooperation forums to achieve greater regulatory compatibility on a mutually beneficial basis?	28.17.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	9, XIII and XIV; 13, XI	N/A			
	19.3 Did COFEPRIS consider relevant events that took place in international or regional forums for the preparation and revision of its technical regulations?	N/A	25.5.8	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.4	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	19.4 To help minimize unnecessary regulatory differences and facilitate trade or investment, did COFEPRIS implement any of the following mechanisms?	28.17.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(a) an initial phase of formal or informal exchange of technical or scientific information or data, including coordination of research agendas, to reduce duplication of research; and information provided by foreign SMEs;	28.17.3.a	25.7.1.a 25.7.1.b		N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(b) exploration of possible common approaches to the assessment and mitigation of risks or hazards, including those potentially associated with the use of emerging technologies;	28.17.3.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(c) where appropriate, regulation through the specification of performance requirements rather than design characteristics, to promote innovation and facilitate trade;	28.17.3.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	(d) collaboration in relevant international forums;	28.17.3.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(e) exchange information, of a technical or practical nature, on regulations that Mexico's trading partners are developing to maximize the opportunity for common approaches;	28.17.3.e	25.7.1.a 25.7.1.b	15 <i>B/S</i> 7.1.a y 15 <i>B/S</i> 7.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(f) co-finance research to support regulations and implementation tools of joint interest;	28.17.3.f	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(g) facilitate a greater use of relevant international standards, guidelines, and recommendations as a basis for regulations, testing and approval processes;	28.17.3.g	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(h) when developing or implementing regulations, consideration of relevant scientific or technical guidance documents developed through international collaborative initiatives;	28.17.3.h	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3 subsection I			
	(i) consideration of common approaches to the display of product or consumer information;	28.17.3.i	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(j) consideration of developing compatible platforms or formats for industry submission of product information for regulatory review;	28.17.3.j	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	N/A			
	(k) coordination of the implementation of regulations and share compliance information, including, as appropriate, signing confidentiality agreements;	28.17.3.k	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(l) a periodically exchange information, as appropriate, regarding any planned or ongoing post-implementation reviews or evaluations of existing or planned regulations affecting trade or investment;	28.17.3.l	N/A	15 <i>B/S</i> 7.1.a y 15 <i>B/S</i> 7.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(m) training programs, seminars, and other relevant assistance;	N/A	25.7.1.c	15 <i>B/S</i> 7.1.c	N/A	9, XII, XIII and XIV	N/A			
	(n) strengthening cooperation and other relevant activities among regulatory authorities.	N/A	25.7.1.d	15 <i>B/S</i> 7.1.d	N/A	9, XII, XIII and XIV; 13, XI	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>BIS</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
20. Technical Regulations - Participation of Interested Parties	20.1 Were continuous opportunities offered to interested persons from Mexico's trading partners to provide input on issues relevant to improving regulatory coherence of technical regulations?	N/A	25.8	15 <i>BIS</i> 8	N/A	29, VI	N/A			
21. Technical Regulations - Good Regulatory Practices	21.1 Is COFEPRIS part of the Good Regulatory Practices/Regulatory Coherence/Regulatory Improvement Committee of the USMCA, CPTPP and PA?	28.18.1	25.6.1	15 <i>BIS</i> 6.1	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	21.2 Does COFEPRIS attend or attended the annual meeting of the USMCA Committee, CPTPP, and the PA?	28.18.6	25.6.6	15 <i>BIS</i> 6.2	N/A	N/A	N/A			
22. Technical Regulations - Competent authorities for medical devices	22.1 Did COFEPRIS publish online a description, including specific responsibilities, of each authority that has the responsibility to implement and enforce measures regulating medical devices?	12.E.3.1.a	Anexo 8-E.5	Anexo 7.11 <i>Quinquies</i> 22	N/A	6, XXI and XXII.	N/A			
	22.2 Did COFEPRIS publish online a contact point within each authority responsible for implementing and enforcing measures regulating medical devices?	12.E.3.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A			
	22.3 Were the other Parties promptly notified of any material changes to the above information?	12.E.3.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	22.4 Is the information kept up to date online?	12.E.3.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	15, VII, VIII, IX.	N/A			
	22.5 Did COFEPRIS avoid adopting or maintaining unnecessary duplicate regulatory requirements with respect to medical devices?	12.E.3.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	15, VII, VIII, IX.	N/A			
23. Technical Regulations - Improving Regulatory Compatibility for Medical Devices	23.1 Was the term medical devices defined in a manner consistent with the meaning of the term "medical device" provided in the Definition of the Terms "Medical Device" and "In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Device (IVDMDD)" adopted by the Global Harmonization Working Group on May 16, 2012?	12.E.4.1	Annex 8-E.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	23.2 Did COFEPRIS publish online a description, including specific responsibilities, of each authority that has the	12.E.3.1.a	Annex 8-E.5	Annex 7.11	N/A	46, 47	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>BIS</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	responsibility to implement and enforce measures regulating medical devices?			<i>Quinquies 22</i>						
	23.2 Did COFEPRIS sought to collaborate to improve the alignment of regulations and regulatory activities for medical devices by working on relevant international initiatives?	12.E.4.2	Annex 8-E.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	23.3 Did COFEPRIS look for collaboration to improve the alignment of regulations and regulatory activities for medical devices by working on regional initiatives that support those relevant international initiatives?	12.E.4.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	23.4 To improve cooperation in inspections of medical device manufacturers' quality management systems, are audits of medical device manufacturers' quality management systems that are in accordance with the requirements established by the Medical Device Single Audit Program, and conducted by audit organizations authorized by the regulatory authorities participating in the Program, recognized?	12.E.4.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	23.5 In case of the development or implementation of regulations for the commercial authorization of medical devices, did COFEPRIS consider relevant scientific or technical guidance documents developed through international collaborative effort?	12.E.4.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	23.6 In the case of the development or implementation of regulations for the commercial authorization of medical devices, did COFEPRIS consider regionally developed scientific or technical guidance documents that are aligned with international efforts?	12.E.4.4	Annex 8-E.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
24. Technical Regulations - Regulatory	24.1 In the case of a measure applied to guarantee the safety, effectiveness, or quality of medical devices, did COFEPRIS ensure that products imported from the territory of the government of any of Mexico's trading partners are	12.E.5.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
Controls Application	not granted treatment no less favorable than that granted to similar products of national origin and to similar products originating in any other country, in a comparable situation?									
	24.2 For the classification of medical devices according to risk, did COFEPRIS consider the relevant scientific factors (i.e., contact with the body, degree of invasion, local effect and systemic effect)?	12.E.5.2	Annex 8-E.10	Annex 7.11 <i>Quinquies 10</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	24.3 Where regulatory requirements on medical devices were imposed to ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device, did COFEPRIS base the requirements on a risk assessment of the medical device?	12.E.5.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	38, II and III	N/A			
25. Technical Regulations - Commercial Authorizations	25.1 Did COFEPRIS base its determination to grant a marketing authorization for a medical device on clinical data and information, if appropriate, on safety and effectiveness?	12.E.6.1.a	Annex 8-E.12.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.2 Was the determination to grant a marketing authorization for a medical device based on information about the performance, design, and quality of the device?	12.E.6.1.b	Annex 8-E.12.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.3 Was the determination to grant a marketing authorization for a medical device based on labeling information related to the safety, effectiveness, quality, and use of the device?	12.E.6.1.c	Annex 8-E.12.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.4 Does COFEPRIS manage any commercial authorization process it maintains for medical devices in a timely manner?	N/A	Annex 8-E.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.5 Does COFEPRIS reasonably administrate any commercial authorization process it maintains for medical devices by avoiding duplicate applications or requests for unnecessary information from the applicant, promptly communicating any deficiencies in the application and the reasons for them, and providing a determination within a reasonable time?	12.E.6.2.a	Annex 8-E.13	N/A	N/A	7 subsection V	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST TECHNICAL REGULATIONS Section I. Prior to the start of the regulatory process / During the planning process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUND						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	Yes	No	N/A
	25.6 Does COFEPRIS objectively manage any commercial authorization process it maintains for medical devices through the application of published criteria?	12.E.6.2.b	Annex 8-E.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.7 Does COFEPRIS impartially manage any commercial authorization process it maintains for medical devices, including adopting or maintaining procedures to manage any conflicts of interest?	12.E.6.2.c	Annex 8-E.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.8 Does COFEPRIS transparently manage any commercial authorization process it maintains for medical devices, including the publication of a checklist or other guidance regarding the information that must be provided in any application?	12.E.6.2.d	Annex 8-E.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
26. Technical Regulations - Trade Effects/Technical Barriers to Trade	26.1 When determining whether a draft technical regulation may have a significant effect on trade, did COFEPRIS consider the relevant guidance in the Decisions and Recommendations adopted by the WTO Committee on Technical Barriers to Trade since January 1 st , 1995 (G/TBT/1/Rev. 13)?	11.7.21	N/A	N/A	2.5; 13.2 ; 13.3	N/A	N/A			
	26.2 Did COFEPRIS ensure not to develop a technical regulation that restricts trade more than necessary to achieve a legitimate objective, considering the risks that would be created by not achieving it?	11.3.1	8.4.1	7.3	2.2	33 till 39	N/A			
	26.3 In assessing the risks, did COFEPRIS take into consideration the available technical and scientific information, the related processing technology or the end uses for which the products are intended?	11.3.1	8.4.1	7.3	2.2	38	3, subsection I			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST
Section II.
During the regulatory process

DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
1. Technical Regulations - Transparency	1.1 During the working groups and/or technical discussions, did COFEPRIS allow the participation of individuals or legal entities from the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners under conditions no less favorable than those granted to nationals?	11.7.1	8.7.1	7.8.5	2.1	N/A	5, subsection II			
	1.2 Did COFEPRIS allow individuals or companies located in the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners to submit written comments during the public consultation period under conditions no less favorable than those granted to nationals?	11.7.1; 11.7.2.b	8.7.1	7.8.5	2.1	28; (minimum 10); 39 (minimum 20 days)	5, subsection II; 35, subsection VI; 38 (fourth paragraph)			
	1.3 Did COFEPRIS publish the comments referred to in question 1.2 of this section?	11.7.1; 11.7.2.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	43				
	1.4 Was the time allowed for the submission of the comments noted in question 1.2 of this section enough for COFEPRIS to review them and, if appropriate, revise the measure to take them into consideration?	11.7.1; 11.7.2.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	43	N/A			
	1.5 Did COFEPRIS and the CCN consider the written comments of a natural or legal person located in the territory of one of Mexico's trading partners in terms no less favorable than those submitted by nationals?	11.7.1; 11.7.2.d	8.7.1	7.8.5	2.1	N/A	N/A			
	1.6 If feasible ⁴ , did COFEPRIS accept the request from the governments of Mexico's trading partners to discuss the written comments they submitted?	11.7.2.e	N/A	N/A	10.1	N/A	N/A			
	1.7 If the answer to question 1.6 of this section is affirmative, did COFEPRIS ensure the participation of individual officials from the competent administrative units in these discussions?	11.7.2.e; 11.7.2 (second	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

⁴ It will not be considered feasible when the U.S. or Canada did not submit their comments in a timely manner or if the discussions would have to take place after the deadline for submitting written comments has passed.

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 B/S	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
		paragraph)								
	1.8 Did COFEPRIS allow Mexico's trading partners to exchange relevant information regarding the process under conditions no less favorable than those granted to nationals?	11.7.1	8.7.1	7.8.5	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	1.9 In the event that COFEPRIS requested a standardization or normalization body registered in Mexico to develop a Mexican standard or norm to be used as a technical regulation, was said body required to allow the participation of individuals or legal entities from the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners in the groups or committees created by the body that developed the technical regulation?	11.7.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	1.10 Regarding question 1.9 of this section, was the body required to allow the submission of comments from individuals or legal entities from the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners during the public consultation period?	11.7.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	39 and 41	38 (fourth paragraph)			
	1.11 Was the participation of individuals or legal entities from the territory of any of Mexico's trading partners in the processes described in questions 1.9 and 1.10 granted on terms no less favorable than those granted to nationals?	11.7.8	N/A	N/A	2.1	N/A	N/A			
	1.12 Did COFEPRIS publish online and with unrestricted access the final technical regulation? ⁵	11.7.10; 11.7.4	8.7.4, 8.8.8 y 8.7.8	N/A	2.11	44	N/A			
	1.13 Were efforts made to make comments received during the public consultation promptly publicly available?	11.7.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	44 and 47	35, subsection X			

⁵ Unless they are technical regulations developed by non-governmental organizations or have been incorporated by reference into a technical regulation.

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 B/S	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	1.14 Were the comments posted on a single specific website for the technical regulation or on the COFEPRIS website?	11.7.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3 subsection II; 5, subsection VII			
	1.15 Did COFEPRIS use available means to protect confidential information from comments received during the public consultation and withhold personally identifiable information or inappropriate content?	11.7.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5, subsection VII; 40 second paragraph			
	1.16 In the final version of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS publish an explanation of how the substantive issues raised in the comments submitted were addressed?	11.7.4	8.7.4, 8.8.8 y 8.7.8	N/A	N/A	44 and 47	35 subsection IX			
	1.17 Did COFEPRIS participate in the procedure to explain how the comments submitted were considered?	11.7.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	44 and 47	N/A			
	1.18 Was the information regarding the draft technical regulation published on an official centralized website?	N/A	N/A	7.8.4	10.1.1; 10.3.1	16, III and IV; 55	3 subsection II; 5, subsection VII			
2. Technical Regulations - Notifications	2.1 Was an attempt made to submit to the DGN a revision of a notification if the content of the notified measure has substantially changed prior to its entry into force?	11.7.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	2.2 In the event that the content or objective of a notified measure is totally or partially modified, was an effort made to allow a new or extended deadline for interested persons to submit their comments to the authority?	11.7.17, 11.7.18.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	2.3 Was an attempt made, through the DGN, to notify the final text of the technical regulation at the time the text was adopted or published as an addendum to the proposal's original notification?	11.7.4	8.7.16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 B/S	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	2.4 Do the procedures and/or criteria for submitting an addendum to the TBT Committee through the DGN contemplate any of the following circumstances:	11.7.18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(a) the deadline for submitting comments on the proposed measures has changed;	11.7.18.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(b) the notified measure has been adopted or otherwise has entered into force;	11.7.18.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(c) compliance with the dates of the final measure has changed;	11.7.18.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(d) the notified measure has been withdrawn, revoked, or replaced;	11.7.18.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(e) the content or objective of the notified measure is totally or partially modified;	11.7.18.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(f) any interpretative guidance on a notified measure that has been issued, or	11.7.18.f	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	(g) the final text of the notified measure is published or adopted or otherwise enters into force.	11.7.18.g	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
3. Technical Regulations - Transparency	3.1 Did COFEPRIS provide a reasonable period for Mexico's trading partners to provide written comments and for discussions to be held regarding those comments?	N/A	N/A	2.9.4	N/A	28; (minimum 10); 39 (minimum 20 days)	5, subsection II; 5, subsection VII; 38			
	3.2 Was enough time provided between the end of the comment period and the adoption of the notified technical regulation to ensure that COFEPRIS could fully consider the comments submitted and Mexico's trading partners could issue their responses to the comments?	11.7.14.d	8.7.15	7.8.3	N/A	N/A	5, subsection II; 5, subsection VII;			
	3.3 Was a period of at least 60 days from the date the draft was transmitted given for Mexico's trading partners or other	11.7.14 (second	8.7.14	7.8.6	N/A	28; (minimum 10);	5, subsection II			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	interested persons in those countries to provide written comments on the proposal?	paragraph h)				39 (minimum 20 days)				
	3.4 Are procedures foreseen to consider a reasonable request from the governments of Mexico's trading partners or interested persons from such countries to extend the comment period?	11.7.14 (second paragraph h)	8.7.14	N/A	10.1	N/A	5, subsection II; 38 (first paragraph)			
	3.5 Did COFEPRIS formally respond to the comments received during the public consultation period no later than the date on which the final version of the technical regulation was published?	7.8.3	N/A	7.8.3	N/A	39	N/A			
	3.6 Did COFEPRIS ensure that there are reasonable means for Mexico's trading partners or its nationals to make reasonable requests for information?	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.3	28; (minimum 10); 39 (minimum 20 days)	5, subsection II			
4. Technical Regulation – Transparent Elaboration of Regulations	4.1 During the process of developing the technical regulation until before the end of the process, did COFEPRIS ensure to publish, by itself or through the DGN, the text of the technical regulation together with its regulatory impact assessment? ⁶	28.9.1.a	25.5.5	15 BIS 5.6	N/A	16, XIV; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			
	4.2 During the process of developing the technical regulation until before the end of the process, did COFEPRIS ensure to publish, by itself or through the DGN, an explanation of the technical regulation, including its	28.9.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	16, XIV; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			

⁶ According to Article 28.9.1 of the USMCA parties must comply with the subparagraphs under that provision "in normal circumstances," which do not include, for example, situations when publication in accordance with those paragraphs would render the regulation ineffective in addressing the particular harm to the public interest that the regulation aims to address; if urgent problems (e.g., safety, health or environmental protection) arise or threaten to arise for a Party; or if the regulation has no substantive impact upon members of the public, including person of another Party.

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST
Section II.
During the regulatory process

DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	objectives, how it was intended to achieve those objectives, the rationale for the material features of the regulation and any major alternatives considered?									
	4.3 During the process of developing the technical regulation until before the end of the process, did COFEPRIS ensure to publish, by itself or through the DGN, an explanation of the data, other information, and analysis on which the regulatory authority relied to support the regulation?	28.9.1.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	16; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			
	4.4 During the process of developing the technical regulation until before the end of the process, did COFEPRIS ensure to publish, by itself or through the DGN, the name and contact information of an official from the regulatory authority, who may be contacted to answer questions related to the regulation?	28.9.1.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	14; 54, VIII	N/A			
	4.5 Until before the end of the process, did COFEPRIS ensure to publish, by itself or through the DGN, the data, other information, and the scientific and technical analyses on which COFEPRIS relied to support the technical regulation, including any risk assessment?	28.9.1 (second paragraph)	N/A	N/A	N/A	16; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			
	4.6 Were the above elements published before COFEPRIS finalized its work on the technical regulation and at a time that allowed it to consider the comments received and, as appropriate, review the text of the technical regulation?	28.9.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	16; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			
	4.7 Did COFEPRIS ensure that any interested person, regardless of domicile, had the opportunity, on terms no less favorable than those granted to nationals, to submit written comments on the published items?	28.9.3	N/A	N/A	2.1	N/A	N/A			
	4.8 Were interested persons allowed to submit comments and observations electronically?	28.9.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	16; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST
Section II.
During the regulatory process

DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	4.9 Were written communications allowed to be submitted by mail to a published address or through other technology?	28.9.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	10, V.	N/A			
	4.10 In the event that the technical regulation was expected to have a significant impact on trade, COFEPRIS, through the DGN, provided a period of not less than 60 days from the date of publication of the above elements to submit written comments and other information?	28.9.4.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	28; (minimum 10); 39 (minimum 20 days)	38			
	4.11 Due to the nature and complexity of the technical regulation that has a significant impact on trade, was a longer timeframe provided for interested persons to have the opportunity to understand how the technical regulation would affect their interests and develop informed responses?	28.9.4.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	4.12 If the technical regulation was not expected to have a significant impact on trade, and due to its nature was not required to provide a period of no more than 60 days to submit comments, was a period for submitting written comments and other input on the published information provided for no less than four weeks from the date of publication of the information?	28.9.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	28; (minimum 10); 39 (minimum 20 days)	35, subsection VI 38			
	4.13 Were reasonable requests to extend the deadline for submitting written comments or other observations considered?	28.9.6	N/A	N/A	10.1	N/A	38			
	4.14 Were efforts made to make promptly available to the public any written comments received, either through the website dedicated to such publications or through the COFEPRIS website?	28.9.7	N/A	N/A	10.1.5	16; 28; 39 and 55	3 subsection II; 5, subsection VII			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 B/S	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	4.15 Before the technical regulation was finalized, did COFEPRIS evaluated any information provided in the written comments received during the comment period?	28.9.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	28; 39	N/A			
	4.16 Once the work on the technical regulation was completed, did COFEPRIS promptly published the text of the technical regulation, any final impact assessment, as well as other elements such as those referred to in section 7 of this section?	28.9.9, 28.12	N/A	N/A	N/A	16; 28; 39 and 55	N/A			
	4.17 Were the documents and information published in a format that could be read and processed digitally through word searches and data extraction by a computer or other technology?	28.9.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	10, V	N/A			
5. Technical Regulations - Expert Advisory Groups	5.1 If required during the elaboration or implementation of regulations by groups or bodies that include non-governmental persons, did COFEPRIS look for advice and recommendations from experts?	28.10.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.2 Did COFEPRIS ensure that expert advice or recommendations served as a complement to, rather than a substitute for, the procedures for seeking public comment?	28.10.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.3 Did COFEPRIS ensure that the membership of any expert group or body included a range and diversity of views and interests?	28.10.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.4 Was public notice regarding the name of any expert group or body created or used, and the names of the members of the group or body and their affiliations provided?	28.10.4.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.5 Was public notice regarding the mandate and functions of the expert group or body provided?	28.10.4.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.6 Was public notice regarding meetings of the expert group or body provided?	28.10.4.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	5.7 Was public notice regarding the summary of the outcome of any meeting of an expert group or body provided?	28.10.4.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.8 Were efforts made to make publicly accessible any documentation made available to or prepared for or by the expert group or body?	28.10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35 subsection III, second paragraph, VII			
	5.9 Did COFEPRIS ensure to provide a means, by itself or through the DGN, for interested persons to provide comments to the expert groups or bodies?	28.10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35 subsection III, second paragraph, VII			
6. Technical Regulation - Regulatory Impact Assessment	6.1 Did COFEPRIS include in the proposed regulation a regulatory impact assessment when costs or economic impacts are anticipated?	28.11.1	25.5.1	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.1	N/A	33 (exemptions applied)	N/A			
	6.2 Did COFEPRIS include in the regulatory impact assessment the need for the proposed regulation, including a description of the nature and importance of the problem that the regulation was intended to address?	28.11.2.a	25.5.2.a	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.2.a	N/A	38, I	N/A			
	6.3 Did COFEPRIS include in the regulatory impact assessment feasible and appropriate regulatory and non-regulatory alternatives that could address the need for the regulation, including the alternative of not regulating?	28.11.2.b	25.5.2.b	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.2.b	N/A	38, II	N/A			
	6.4 Did COFEPRIS include in the regulatory impact assessment the benefits and costs of the selected alternative and other feasible alternatives, including relevant impacts (such as economic, social, environmental, public health and safety effects) as well as the risks and distributional effects over time, recognizing that some costs and benefits are difficult to quantify or monetize?	28.11.2.c	25.5.2.b	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.2.b	N/A	38, III	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	6.5 Did COFEPRIS include in the regulatory impact assessment the reasons why the regulatory authority concluded that the selected alternative is preferable, including a reference to the costs and benefits, and the possibilities of managing risks?	28.11.2.d	25.5.2.c	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.2.c	N/A	38, II	N/A			
	6.6 Did COFEPRIS base the regulatory impact assessment on the best available information that can reasonably be obtained including relevant scientific, technical, economic, or other information, within the limits of its boundaries, mandates, and resources?	N/A	25.5.2.d	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.2.d	N/A	38, III	N/A			
	6.7 Did COFEPRIS consider the adverse economic impact that the proposed technical regulation could have on SMEs?	28.11.3	25.5.3	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.3	N/A	N/A				
	6.8 In case of an adverse economic impact according to question 6.7, did COFEPRIS consider possible measures to minimize them without implying the failure to comply with the objectives of the technical regulation?	28.11.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7. Technical Regulations - Final publication	7.1 Once the work on the regulation was finalized, was the date by which compliance is required promptly published in a final regulatory impact assessment or other document?	28.12.1.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	7.2 Once the work on the regulation was finalized, was it promptly published, in a final regulatory impact assessment or other document, an explanation of how the regulation achieves the regulatory authority's objectives, the rationale for the material features of the regulation, and the nature of and reasons for any significant revisions made since the regulation was made available for public comment?	28.12.1.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	39	N/A			
	7.3 2 Once the work on the regulation was finalized, were COFEPRIS's views on any substantive issues raised in the comments submitted promptly published in a final regulatory impact assessment or other document?	28.12.1.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	39	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section II. During the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8 y 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	7.4 2 Once the work on the regulation was finalized, were the main alternatives, if any, that COFEPRIS considered in developing the regulation and the reasons supporting the alternative it selected, promptly published in a final regulatory impact assessment or other document?	28.12.1.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	39	N/A			
	7.5 2 Once the work on the regulation was finalized, was the relationship between the regulation and the key evidence, data, and other information that COFEPRIS considered in finalizing its work on the regulation promptly published in a final regulatory impact assessment or other document?	28.12.1.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	39	N/A			
	7.6 Was the regulation that finally came into force published on a free and publicly available official website?	28.12.2	25.5.5	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.6	10.1.1; 10.3.1	16; 28; 39 and 55	3 subsection II; 5, subsection VII			
8. Technical Regulations - Compliance Deadline	8.1 Did the technical regulation provide for a period of not less than six months between its publication and its entry into force?	11.8.2.1	8.8.2	7.8.7	2.12	N/A	39 second paragraph			
	8.2 Was providing a period longer than six months between the publication of the technical regulation and its entry into force considered?	11.8.2.2	8.8.2	7.8.7	2.12	N/A	39 second paragraph			
	8.3 In determining the reasonable interval, did COFEPRIS seek to take into consideration the resources available to suppliers to be able to demonstrate the conformity of their products with the relevant requirements of the technical regulation before its entry into force?	11.8.3	N/A	8.8.3	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section III. After the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8, 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
1. Technical Regulations - Revision	1.1 Does COFEPRIS contemplate a periodic review process of the technical regulations to determine whether it is appropriate to modify, simplify, expand or cancel them?	11.5.2, 28.13.1	25.5.6	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.7	N/A	45	32			
	1.2 Did COFEPRIS analyze whether the technical regulation had already been previously submitted for review?	11.5.2.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	1.3 During the review process of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider the criteria established in the National Law for the Elimination of Bureaucratic Procedures and, if applicable, the Regulations of the LIC, regarding the periodic/retrospective reviews of existing instruments?	28.13.1	25.5.6	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.7	N/A	45	41			
	1.4 During the review process of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS assess the persistence of the circumstances that gave rise to divergences with respect to a relevant international standard that was not considered in the development of the technical regulation?	11.5.2.a.i	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	41, subsection I			
	1.5 During the review process of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS review the international standards developed after the entry into force of the technical regulation subject to periodic/retrospective review?	11.5.2.a.i	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	41, subsection I			
	1.6 During the review process of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS carry out a re-evaluation process to consider the existence of less trade restrictive approaches?	11.5.2.a.ii	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	N/A			
	1.7 During the review process of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider the revision requests made by any individual or legal entity, national or foreign (specifically from the U.S. or Canada, in addition to other WTO members or Mexico's trading partners)?	11.5.2.b	N/A	N/A	10.1	46	N/A			
	1.8 If the answer to question 1.7 is affirmative, did this process assign a high value to the arguments related to the evaluation of the changed circumstances that led to the development of a technical regulation and/or the existence	11.5.2.b.i, 11.5.2.b.ii	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section III. After the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8, 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	of a less trade restrictive method to meet the objective of the technical regulation?									
	1.9 When reviewing the regulation, did COFEPRIS assess whether the circumstances or objectives that led to the adoption of the regulation still exist?	11.3.1	8.4.1	7.3	2.3	N/A	N/A			
	1.10 If the answer to question 1.9 of this section is affirmative, did COFEPRIS assess whether the technical regulation can be addressed with a less restrictive measure?	11.3.1	8.4.1	7.3	2.3	N/A	32			
	1.11 Are there any processes or mechanisms available for COFEPRIS to conduct retrospective reviews of the technical regulation to determine whether modification or repeal is appropriate?	28.13.1	25.5.6	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.7	N/A	45	41			
	1.12 Is the initiation of a retrospective review permitted in response to a suggestion submitted by an interested party?	28.13.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	1.13 When conducting a retrospective review of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider the effectiveness of the regulation in meeting its initial stated objectives, for example, by assessing its current social or economic impacts?	28.13.2.a	N/A	N/A	77	N/A	41 subsection II			
	1.14 When conducting a retrospective review of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider any circumstances that have changed since the development of the regulation, including the availability of new information?	28.13.2.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	41, subsection IV			
	1.15 When conducting a retrospective review of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider new opportunities to eliminate unnecessary regulatory burdens?	28.13.2.c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	1.16 When conducting a retrospective review of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider ways to address unnecessary regulatory differences that may adversely affect trade among its trading partners?	28.13.2.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section III. After the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8, 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
	1.17 When conducting a retrospective review of the technical regulation, did COFEPRIS consider any relevant views expressed by members of the public?	28.13.2.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	N/A			
	1.18 Were provisions addressing impacts on SMEs included in the processes or mechanisms for retrospective reviews of the technical regulation?	28.13.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	1.19 To the extent available, were the official plans and results of retrospective revisions to the technical regulations published?	28.13.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	N/A			
2. Technical Regulations - Request for Documents	2.1 Did COFEPRIS ensure that copies of the technical regulations or other related document are available upon request by a business partner or interested person?	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.4	10, VI	N/A			
3. Technical Regulations - Annual Report	3.1 Did COFEPRIS prepare an annual report that establishes, to the extent feasible, an estimate of the annual costs and benefits of significant economic regulations issued within a 12-month period, on an aggregate or individual basis?	28.16.a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3.2 Was a report setting out any changes or proposals to make changes to the regulatory system prepared and made freely available online?	28.16.b	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	29			
	3.3 Was an annual public notice given of any covered regulatory measures that COFEPRIS contemplated issuing during the following 12 months where the regulation in question was included?	N/A	25.5.7	15 <i>B/S</i> 5.8	N/A	27	29			
4. Technical Regulations - Revisions Notification	4.1 In case of a notification related to a revision, amendment, or replacement of a previously notified measure, is the WTO notification symbol provided for the previously notified measure?	11.7.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	4.2 In case COFEPRIS, through the DGN, submitted a revision of a previously notified measure, was an effort made to allow a new or extended deadline for interested persons to submit their comments to the authority?	11.7.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	38			
5. Technical Regulations -	5.1 In cases where a technical regulation has been adopted that may have a significant effect on trade, was an	11.7.22.a	8.7.18.a	N/A	2.5	29	N/A			

GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICES CHECKLIST Section III. After the regulatory process										
DISCIPLINE TYPE	OBLIGATION	LEGAL GROUNDS						WAS IT FULFILLED?		
		USMCA Chapters 11, 28	CPTPP Chapters 8, 25	PA Chapters 7, 15 <i>B/S</i>	TBT Agreement	LETB	LIC	YES	NO	N/A
Significant Effects on Trade	explanation of how the technical regulation achieves the parties' objectives promptly posted online?									
	5.2 Regarding question 5.1 of this section, was a publication included describing the alternative approaches, if any, that the regulatory authority considered in the development of the adopted technical regulation and an explanation of why it chose one approach over the others it considered?	11.7.22.b	8.7.18.b	N/A	N/A	29	N/A			
	5.3 Was the description mentioned in question 5.2 provided within 60 days of receiving a request from any of Mexico's trading partners?		8.7.18.b	N/A	10.1	N/A	N/A			
	5.4 Regarding question 5.1 of this section, were COFEPRIS' views on any substantive issues raised in comments received on the technical regulation promptly posted online?	11.7.22.c	8.7.18.c	7.8.3		29	N/A			
	5.5 Regarding question 5.1 of this section, was a description of significant revisions made to the proposed technical regulation, including those made in response to comments, provided as soon as possible, but no later than 60 days after receiving a request from any of Mexico's trading partners?	N/A	8.7.18.d	N/A	10.1	N/A	N/A			
	5.6 Regarding question 5.1 of this section, was any impact assessment conducted by COFEPRIS promptly published online?	11.7.22.d	N/A	N/A	N/A	38	N/A			
	5.7 Regarding questions 5.1 and 5.6, if not addressed by an impact assessment, was an explanation of the relationship between the regulation or the key evidence, data, and other information that the authority considered in finalizing its work on the regulation promptly published online?	11.7.22.e	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	5.8 Regarding question 5.6 of this section, was the date by which compliance would be required promptly posted online?	11.7.22.f	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			